

U.S. Department of I ing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Review for Activity/Project that is Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5 Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)

Project Information

Project Name:

Building-Acquisition---Betances-117

HEROS Number: 900000010350548

State / Local Identifier:

Project Location:

Pueblo Ward, Caguas, PR 00725

Additional Location Information:

117 Betances Street, Pueblo Ward. ID parcel: 225-044-030-18

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

Our Municipal Reconstruction Office develops a Properties Acquisition Program using CDBG funds, to acquired existing buildings in good conditions or needs minimal rehabilitation to develops resilient centers in different communities around the Municipality, in accordance with the guides developed for the implementation of this Program. The Municipality needs \$205,500 to purchase this property and other direct costs. This property was abandoned for many years and will be used as the administrative offices for the Municipal Cementery #1.

Level of Environment Review Determination:

Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at §58.5: 58.35(a)(5)

Funding Information

| Grant Number | HUD Program | Program Name |
|---------------------|------------------------|---|
| B-21-MW-72- | Community Planning and | Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) |
| 0001 | Development (CPD) | (Entitlement) |
| B-22-MC-72-0001 | Community Planning and | Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) |
| | Development (CPD) | (Entitlement) |

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount:

\$500,723.00

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) (5)]:

\$205,500.00

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

| Law, Authority, or Factor | Mitigation Measure or Condition | Comments on Completed Measures | Complete |
|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| Endangered Species Act | An important fact is the activity under environmental evaluation is the building acquisition, Further activities for cleaning and rehabilitation activities will be covered by other funds. However, a protocol or guidelines designed by USFW will be established in case, we found a Puerto Rico Boa in the building. | N/A | Following USFW guideline in case, we found a Puerto Rico Boa during cleaning or rehabilitations activities in the building. |
| Historic Preservation | Submittal of the preliminary drawings that will be prepared during the next planning stage. | N/A | When the Municipality has these preliminary drawings, submitted it to SHPO fir further evaluation. |

M

Determination:

| | This categorically excluded activity/project converts to EXEMPT per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license; Funds may be committed and drawn down after certification of this part for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR |
|---|--|
| X | This categorically excluded activity/project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities listed at Section 58.5 requires formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, publish NOI/RROF and obtain "Authority to Use Grant Funds" (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down any funds; OR |
| | This project is not categorically excluded OR, if originally categorically excluded, is now subject to a full Environmental Assessment according to Part 58 Subpart E due to extraordinary circumstances (Section 58.35(c)). |

| Building-AcquisitionBetances- | Caguas, PR | 90000010350548 |
|--|--|---|
| 117 | | |
| Preparer Signature: | | Date: August 4, 2023 |
| | O RIVERA CRUZ / / CAGUAS | |
| Responsible Entity Agency Official Signatu | | Date: 05-09-2023 |
| Name/Title: Lydia I River | a Donizard - 1 | seputy Mayor |
| This original, signed document and relate Responsible Entity in an Environment Rev | d supporting material must be view Record (ERR) for the acti | e retained on file by the vity / project (ref: 24 CFR Part |

58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Review for Activity/Project that is Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5 Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)

Project Information

Project Name:

Building-Acquisition---Betances-117

HEROS Number: 900000010350548

Responsible Entity (RE): CAGUAS, BOX 7889 CAGUAS MUNICIPI PR, 00626

State / Local Identifier:

RE Preparer: GUILLERMO RIVERA CRUZ

Certifying Officer:

LYDIA RIVERA DENIZARD

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity):

Point of Contact:

Consultant (if applicable):

Point of Contact:

Project Location:

Pueblo Ward, Caguas, PR 00725

Additional Location Information:

117 Betances Street, Pueblo Ward. ID parcel: 225-044-030-18

Direct Comments to:

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

Our Municipal Reconstruction Office develops a Properties Acquisition Program using CDBG funds, to acquired existing buildings in good conditions or needs minimal rehabilitation to develops resilient centers in different communities around the Municipality, in accordance with the guides developed for the implementation of this Program. The Municipality needs \$205,500 to purchase this property and other direct costs. This property was abandoned for many years and will be used as the administrative offices for the Municipal Cementery #1.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

BE117 - Imagen CRIM [21 JUN 2022].PNG

Hoja de Proyecto Adquisición Betances 117.pdf

Betances117Topo.png

Aviso Prensa Reprogramacion Fondos - Betances 117.pdf

Memo Explicativo Adquisicion Betances 117.pdf

BE117 - Imagen Fachada.PNG

Level of Environmental Review Determination: Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at 58.5: 58.35(a)(5)

Determination:

| | This categorically excluded activity/project converts to EXEMPT per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license; Funds may be committed and drawn down after certification of this part for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR |
|--|---|
| | This categorically excluded activity/project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities listed at Section 58.5 requires formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, publish NOI/RROF and obtain "Authority to Use Grant Funds" (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down any funds; OR |

This project is not categorically excluded OR, if originally categorically excluded, is now subject to a full Environmental Assessment according to Part 58 Subpart E due to extraordinary circumstances (Section 58.35(c)).

Approval Documents:

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer on:

Funding Information

| Grant / Project | HUD Program | Program Name | | |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------|--|--|



| Identification Number | | |
|--------------------------|--|---|
| B-21-MW-72-0001 | Community Planning and Development (CPD) | Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) (Entitlement) |
| B-22-MC-72-0001 | Community Planning and Development (CPD) | Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) (Entitlement) |

Estimated Total HUD Funded, Assisted \$500,723.00 or Insured Amount:

Estimated Total Project Cost:

\$205,500.00

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

| Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6 | Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required? | Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations) |
|--|---|--|
| STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD | ERS, AND REGULATION | ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6 |
| Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D | □ Yes ☑ No | The Municipality of Caguas doesn't have a military or civilian airport in their territorial jurisdiction. The nearest civilian or military airports are in the cities of Carolina, Humacao, Ceiba, Patillas and Salinas (72,456 feet from SJU Airport). These cities are located more than 15,000 feet from the city limits. The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. |
| Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501] | □ Yes ☑ No | Puerto Rico is one of the areas with Coastal Barrier Resources Systems (CBRS). However, the project is located in the Municipality of Caguas, more than 20 miles for the nearest CBRS (73,179 feet from Punta Vacia Talega). This project is not located in a CBRS Unit. Therefore, this project has no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act. |



| Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001- 4128 and 42 USC 5154a] | ☐ Yes ☑ No | The project is located out of the 100 year floodplain. Source of information: FIRM Map 72000C1210J, panel 1210, valid since November 18, 2009. The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements. |
|--|--------------------|--|
| STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD | ERS, AND REGULATIO | ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5 |
| Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93 | ☐ Yes ☑ No | Based on the project description (acquisition of an existing building for public use, that not increase building footprint or density), this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The Municipality of Caguas is classified as an attainment area. Source of information: PR Department of Environmental & Natural Resources, Air Quality Division letter dated on |
| Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d) | ☐ Yes ☑ No | December 19, 2022. The project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. The proposed project is not located, nor affects, a coastal zone. The Municipality of Caguas does not have any coastal zone. The nearest coast is 18 miles away, therefore, it is not define as a Coastal Municipality by Puerto Rico Coastal Zone Management Program (PRCZM). This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as |
| Contamination and Toxic | ☐ Yes ☑ No | defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. Using NEPA Assist Tool; forty-two (42) |



| Substances | | * |
|-------------------------------------|------------|--|
| 24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)] | | RCRA, TRI and Brownfields sites were |
| 24 C(N 30.3(1) & 38.3(1)(2)] | | identified in a radius of 0.6 miles from |
| | | the structure. The nearest one |
| | | (Brownfield - Old SEDECO Building & |
| | | RCRA- Mobil Gas Station) are located at |
| | 7 | 0.04 miles from the project. The most |
| | | far one (RCRA - Esso Gas Station) is |
| Į. | | located at 0.60 miles from the project. |
| | | The proposed project consists of the |
| | | acquisition of a existing building in a |
| | | urban neighborhood and quite far or |
| _ | | not immediately next to these sites. |
| | | During the inspection of the place |
| | | where the project will take place, no |
| | | landfills/dumps, industrial sites, |
| | | substations or dry cleaners were |
| | | identified in the surroundings. |
| | | Brownfield sites are evaluated by a |
| | | Phase I ESA and no recognizable |
| | | environmental conditions are found. TRI |
| | | and RCRA data are related to permits |
| | | awarded because of the properties uses |
| | | and operations. No investigations or |
| | | citizens' complaints about chemical |
| | | accidents or hazardous situations are |
| | | received or notified to our Office. In |
| | 3 | ECHO reports, no violations recorded |
| | | for sites within a radius of 500 feet from |
| | * | the project. No investigations or |
| | | citizens' complaints about chemical |
| | | accidents or hazardous situations are |
| | | received or notified to our Office. Site |
| | | contamination was evaluated as |
| | | follows: None of the above. On-site or |
| | | nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive |
| | | substances that could affect the health |
| | | and safety of project occupants or |
| | | conflict with the intended use of the |
| | | property were not found. The project is |
| | | in compliance with contamination and |
| Endangered Consists Aut | - | toxic substances requirements. |
| Endangered Species Act | ☑ Yes □ No | This project May Affect, but is Not Likely |
| Endangered Species Act of 1973, | | to Adversely Affect, listed species, and |
| particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part | | informal consultation was conducted. |
| 402 | | With mitigation, identified in the |
| | | mitigation section of this review, the |



| ar . | | project will be in compliance with the |
|---|-------------|--|
| | | Endangered Species Act. |
| 1 The Library of the | □ Yes ☑ No | The project does not include any |
| Explosive and Hammadie Haran | L les L No | hazardous facilities either or a |
| Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part | | hazardous facility (a facility that mainly |
| 51 Subpart C | | stores, handles or processes flammable |
| | | or combustible chemicals such as bulk |
| | | fuel storage facilities and refineries). |
| | | The project activities will not increase |
| | | residential densities, or convert other |
| | | uses into residential ones. Based on the |
| | | |
| 11. | | project description (acquisition of an |
| £ | | existing building for public use, that not |
| | | increase building footprint or density), |
| | | the project includes no activities that |
| | | would require further evaluation under |
| | | this section. The project is in compliance |
| 3 | | with explosive and flammable hazard |
| 12 | 9 | requirements. |
| Farmlands Protection | ☐ Yes ☑ No | The project area has been impacted |
| Farmland Protection Policy Act of | | previously. The proposed project consist |
| 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) | | on an acquisition of an existing building |
| and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658 | | for public use in a community, that not |
| und 15-11, 7 St. 11. u. 1 | | increase building footprint or density. |
| | | The project is out of agricultural |
| | | reserves, experimental stations, soils |
| | | classified as of agricultural capacity or |
| | | classified as prime agricultural land, |
| | | according with the Soil Survey from |
| | | NRCS. Caguas does not have protected |
| | | areas covered by Farmlands Protection |
| | | Policy Act. This project does not include |
| | | any activities that could potentially |
| G. | | convert agricultural land to a non- |
| | | agricultural use. The project is in |
| | | compliance with the Farmland |
| | | Protection Policy Act. |
| | ☐ Yes ☑ No | This project does not occur in a |
| Floodplain Management | □ 162 □ 140 | floodplain. Source of information: FIRM |
| Executive Order 11988, particularly | | Map 72000C1210J, panel 1210, valid |
| section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55 | | since November 18, 2009. The project is |
| | | in compliance with Executive Order |
| 1 | | 11988. |
| | ✓ Yes □ No | Based on Section 106 consultation, the |
| Historic Preservation | ☑ Yes □ No | project will have No Adverse Effect on |
| National Historic Preservation Act of | | historic properties. Conditions: Other. |
| 1966, particularly sections 106 and | | Historic properties. Conditions. Other. |



| 110; 36 CFR Part 800 | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|---|
| | | Upon satisfactory implementation of |
| | | the conditions, which should be |
| 0 | | monitored, the project is in compliance |
| Noise Abatement and Control | ☐ Yes ☑ No | with Section 106. |
| Noise Control Act of 1972, as | LI TES MI NO | Based on the project description |
| amended by the Quiet Communities | | (acquisition of an existing building for |
| Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart | | public use in a community, that not |
| B | | increase building footprint or density), |
| | | this project includes no activities that |
| | | would require further evaluation under |
| | * | HUD's noise regulation. The project is in |
| | | compliance with HUD's Noise |
| Sole Source Aquifers | | regulation. |
| Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as | ☐ Yes ☑ No | Based on the project description |
| amended, particularly section | | (acquisition of an existing building for |
| 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149 | | public use, that not increase building |
| 1424(c), 40 Crit rait 149 | | footprint or density), the project |
| | | consists of activities that are unlikely to |
| | | have an adverse impact on groundwater |
| | | resources. There are no designated Sole |
| | | Source Aquifers in Puerto Rico. |
| | | According the USGS Puerto Rico |
| | | Aquifers Map, the site is classified as |
| | | unconsolidated sand and gravel |
| * | | aquifers. The project is in compliance |
| Wetlands Protection | | with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. |
| Executive Order 11990, particularly | ☐ Yes ☑ No | Based on the project description |
| sections 2 and 5 | | (acquisition of an existing building for |
| Sections 2 and 5 | | public use, that not increase building |
| | | footprint or density), this project |
| | | includes no activities that would require |
| | | further evaluation under this section. |
| | | The project is in compliance with |
| Wild and Scenic Rivers Act | ☐ Yes ☑ No | Executive Order 11990. |
| Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, | □ res ២ No | The proposed project is not within |
| particularly section 7(b) and (c) | | proximity of a National Wild and Scenic |
| particularly section 7(b) and (c) | | Rivers (NWSRS) river. The Municipality |
| | | of Caguas does not have any river |
| | | registered as a Wild and Scenic River, |
| | | Study River or listed in the Nationwide |
| | | Rivers Inventory (NRI). This project is |
| | | not within proximity of a NWSRS river. |
| | | The project is in compliance with the |
| | | Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. |
| HUD HO | USING ENVIRONMEN | NTAL STANDARDS |





| | conditions and (m) further consultation with USFW in case we found a Puerto Rico Boa during cleaning or rehabilitation activities in the project. Neither the project site, nor the surrounding neighborhood suffer from adverse environmental conditions. No |
|-----|---|
| 965 | adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total |
| ~ | environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898. |

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [40 CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or nonconformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

| Law, Authority, or Factor | Mitigation Measure or Condition | Comments on Completed Measures | Mitigation Plan | Complete |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|----------|
| Endangered Species Act | An important fact is the activity under environmental evaluation is the building acquisition, Further activities for cleaning and rehabilitation activities will be covered by other funds. However, a protocol or guidelines designed by USFW will be established in case, we found a Puerto Rico Boa in the building. | N/A | Following USFW guideline in case, we found a Puerto Rico Boa during cleaning or rehabilitations activities in the building. | |
| Historic Preservation | Submittal of the preliminary drawings that will be prepared during the next planning stage. | N/A | When the Municipality has these preliminary drawings, submitted it to SHPO fir further | |



evaluation.

Building-Acquisition---Betances-117

Project Mitigation Plan

Further mitigation process will be developed for Endangered Species and Historic Preservation criteria for this activity.

Supporting documentation on completed measures



APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

| General policy | Legislation | Regulation |
|---|-------------|--------------------------|
| It is HUD's policy to apply standards to prevent incompatible development around civil airports and military airfields. | | 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D |

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes



Compliance Determination

The Municipality of Caguas doesn't have a military or civilian airport in their territorial jurisdiction. The nearest civilian or military airports are in the cities of Carolina, Humacao, Ceiba, Patillas and Salinas (72,456 feet from SJU Airport). These cities are located more than 15,000 feet from the city limits. The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.

Supporting documentation

Adquisicion Betances 117 airport map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Coastal Barrier Resources

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|---|--|------------|
| HUD financial assistance may not be used for most activities in units of the Coastal Barrier Resources System (CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations on federal expenditures affecting the CBRS. | Coastal Barrier Resources Act (CBRA) of 1982, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501) | |

Is the project located in a CBRS Unit?

✓ No

Document and upload map and documentation below.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Puerto Rico is one of the areas with Coastal Barrier Resources Systems (CBRS). However, the project is located in the Municipality of Caguas, more than 20 miles for the nearest CBRS (73,179 feet from Punta Vacia Talega). This project is not located in a CBRS Unit. Therefore, this project has no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.



Supporting documentation

Adquisicion Betances 117 barrier map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Flood Insurance

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|---|-------------|--|
| Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be used in floodplains unless the community participates in National Flood Insurance Program and flood insurance is both obtained and maintained. | | 24 CFR 50.4(b)(1) and 24 CFR 58.6(a) and (b); 24 CFR 55.1(b). |

1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?</u>

No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

✓ Yes

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

FEMA FIRM MAP - BETANCES 117.pdf



The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The <u>FEMA Map Service Center</u> provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site. Provide FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, and date within your documentation.

Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area?

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

4. While flood insurance is not mandatory for this project, HUD strongly recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Will flood insurance be required as a mitigation measure or condition?

Yes

√ No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project is located out of the 100 year floodplain. Source of information: FIRM Map 72000C1210J, panel 1210, valid since November 18, 2009. The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes



Air Quality

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|---|---|------------------------------|
| The Clean Air Act is administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), which sets national standards on ambient pollutants. In addition, the Clean Air Act is administered by States, which must develop State Implementation Plans (SIPs) to regulate their state air quality. Projects funded by HUD must demonstrate that they conform to the appropriate SIP. | Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et seq.) as amended particularly Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC 7506(c) and (d)) | 40 CFR Parts 6, 51 and 93 |

 Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

✓ Yes

No



Air Quality Attainment Status of Project's County or Air Quality Management District

- 2. Is your project's air quality management district or county in non-attainment or maintenance status for any criteria pollutants?
- √ No, project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants.

Yes, project's management district or county is in non-attainment or maintenance status for the following criteria pollutants (check all that apply):

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description (acquisition of an existing building for public use, that not increase building footprint or density), this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The Municipality of Caguas is classified as an attainment area. Source of information: PR Department of Environmental & Natural Resources, Air Quality Division letter dated on December 19, 2022. The project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants. The project is in

compliance with the Clean Air Act.

Supporting documentation

CERTIFICACION DE AREA DE LOGROS CALIDAD DE AIRE 2023.PDF

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes



Coastal Zone Management Act

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|--|--|------------|
| Federal assistance to applicant agencies for activities affecting any coastal use or resource is granted only when such activities are consistent with federally approved State Coastal Zone Management Act Plans. | stal Zone Management 15 CFR F (16 USC 1451-1464), ticularly section 307(c) and (16 USC 1456(c) and (d)) | Part 930 |

1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

Yes

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination



The proposed project is not located, nor affects, a coastal zone. The Municipality of Caguas does not have any coastal zone. The nearest coast is 18 miles away, therefore, it is not define as a Coastal Municipality by Puerto Rico Coastal Zone Management Program (PRCZM). This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

Supporting documentation

PMZCPR Caguas map.pdf
Mapa PMZC Puerto Rico 2023.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Contamination and Toxic Substances

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulations |
|--|-------------|-------------------------------------|
| It is HUD policy that all properties that are being proposed for use in HUD programs be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances, where a hazard could affect the health and safety of the occupants or conflict with the intended utilization of the property. | | 24 CFR 58.5(i)(2) 24 CFR 50.3(i) |

 How was site contamination evaluated? Select all that apply. Document and upload documentation and reports and evaluation explanation of site contamination below.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)

ASTM Phase II ESA

Remediation or clean-up plan

ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening

- ✓ None of the Above
- 2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)





Using NEPA Assist Tool; forty-two (42) RCRA, TRI and Brownfields sites were identified in a radius of 0.6 miles from the structure. The nearest one (Brownfield - Old SEDECO Building & RCRA- Mobil Gas Station) are located at 0.04 miles from the project. The most far one (RCRA - Esso Gas Station) is located at 0.60 miles from the project. The proposed project consists of the acquisition of a existing building in a urban neighborhood and quite far or not immediately next to these sites. During the inspection of the place where the project will take place, no landfills/dumps, industrial sites, substations or dry cleaners were identified in the surroundings. Brownfield sites are evaluated by a Phase I ESA and no recognizable environmental conditions are found. TRI and RCRA data are related to permits awarded because of the properties uses and operations. No investigations or citizens' complaints about chemical accidents or hazardous situations are received or notified to our Office. In ECHO reports, no violations recorded for sites within a radius of 500 feet from the project. No investigations or citizens' complaints about chemical accidents or hazardous



situations are received or notified to our Office.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Using NEPA Assist Tool; forty-two (42) RCRA, TRI and Brownfields sites were identified in a radius of 0.6 miles from the structure. The nearest one (Brownfield - Old SEDECO Building & RCRA- Mobil Gas Station) are located at 0.04 miles from the project. The most far one (RCRA -Esso Gas Station) is located at 0.60 miles from the project. The proposed project consists of the acquisition of a existing building in a urban neighborhood and quite far or not immediately next to these sites. During the inspection of the place where the project will take place, no landfills/dumps, industrial sites, substations or dry cleaners were identified in the surroundings. Brownfield sites are evaluated by a Phase I ESA and no recognizable environmental conditions are found. TRI and RCRA data are related to permits awarded because of the properties uses and operations. No investigations or citizens' complaints about chemical accidents or hazardous situations are received or notified to our Office. In ECHO reports, no violations recorded for sites within a radius of 500 feet from the project. No investigations or citizens' complaints about chemical accidents or hazardous situations are received or notified to our Office. Site contamination was evaluated as follows: None of the above. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.



Supporting documentation

Final Phase I ESA Sedeco Building (2).pdf
NEPA ASSIST REPORT MAPS - BETANCES 117.pdf
NEPA Assist report - Betances 117.pdf
ECHO REPORT - PATHEON PR.pdf
ECHO REPORT - ESSO GAS STATION.pdf
ECHO REPORT - CAGUAS AMBULATORY CENTER.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Endangered Species

| General requirements | ESA Legislation | Regulations |
|--|--|--------------------|
| Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) mandates that federal agencies ensure that actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out shall not jeopardize the continued existence of federally listed plants and animals or result in the adverse modification or destruction of designated critical habitat. Where their actions may affect resources protected by the ESA, agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services"). | The Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.); particularly section 7 (16 USC 1536). | 50 CFR Part 402 |

Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

✓ Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.



2. Are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the absence of federally listed species and designated critical habitat

- ✓ Yes, there are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area.
- 3. What effects, if any, will your project have on federally listed species or designated critical habitat?

No Effect: Based on the specifics of both the project and any federally listed species in the action area, you have determined that the project will have absolutely no effect on listed species or critical habitat. in the action area.

✓ May Affect, Not Likely to Adversely Affect: Any effects that the project may have on federally listed species or critical habitats would be beneficial, discountable, or insignificant.

Likely to Adversely Affect: The project may have negative effects on one or more listed species or critical habitat.

4. Informal Consultation is required

Section 7 of ESA (16 USC. 1536) mandates consultation to resolve potential impacts to endangered and threatened species and critical habitats. If a HUD-assisted project may affect any federally listed endangered or threatened species or critical habitat, then compliance is required with Section 7. See 50 CFR Part 402 Subpart B Consultation Procedures.

Did the Service(s) concur with the finding that the project is Not Likely to Adversely Affect?

~

✓ Yes, the Service(s) concurred with the finding.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the following below:

- (1) A biological evaluation or equivalent document
- (2) Concurrence(s) from FWS and/or NMFS
- (3) Any other documentation of informal consultation

Exception: If finding was made based on procedures provided by a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office, provide whatever documentation is mandated by that agreement.

No, the Service(s) did not concur with the finding.

6. For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation. This information will be automatically included in the Mitigation summary for the environmental review. If negative effects cannot be mitigated, cancel the project using the button at the bottom of this screen.

✓ Mitigation as follows will be implemented:

An important fact is the activity under environmental evaluation is the building acquisition, Further activities for cleaning and rehabilitation activities will be covered by other funds. However, a protocol or guidelines designed by USFW will be established in case, we found a Puerto Rico Boa in the building.

No mitigation is necessary.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project May Affect, but is Not Likely to Adversely Affect, listed species, and informal consultation was conducted. With mitigation, identified in the mitigation section of this review, the project will be in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.

Supporting documentation

ESTUDIO FLORA Y FAUNA 117 BETANCES.PDF

IPaC Report - Betances 117.pdf general design guidelines combined.pdf

ESI project map.pdf

contestacion USFW Acquisition Betances 117.pdf consulta USFW adquisicion Betances 117.pdf Adquisicion Betances 117 location map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

√ Yes

No

Explosive and Flammable Hazards

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|---|-------------|-----------------------------|
| HUD-assisted projects must meet Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD) requirements to protect them from explosive and flammable hazards. | N/A | 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C |

1. Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as bulk fuel storage facilities and refineries)?

| ✓ | No |
|---|----|
| | |

Yes

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

| √ | No |
|----------|----|

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes



Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project does not include any hazardous facilities either or a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as bulk fuel storage facilities and refineries). The project activities will not increase residential densities, or convert other uses into residential ones. Based on the project description (acquisition of an existing building for public use, that not increase building footprint or density), the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes



Farmlands Protection

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|---|--|----------------|
| The Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) discourages federal activities that would convert farmland to nonagricultural purposes. | Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201 et seq.) | 7 CFR Part 658 |

 Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

✓ No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:



Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project area has been impacted previously. The proposed project consist on an acquisition of an existing building for public use in a community, that not increase building footprint or density. The project is out of agricultural reserves, experimental stations, soils classified as of agricultural capacity or classified as prime agricultural land, according with the Soil Survey from NRCS. Caguas does not have protected areas covered by Farmlands Protection Policy Act. This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.

Supporting documentation

Soil Report BETANCES 117.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Floodplain Management

| General Requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|---|-----------------------|------------|
| Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management, requires federal activities to avoid impacts to floodplains and to avoid direct and indirect support of floodplain development to the extent practicable. | Executive Order 11988 | 24 CFR 55 |

Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]

55.12(c)(3)

55.12(c)(4)

55.12(c)(5)

55.12(c)(6)

55.12(c)(7)

55.12(c)(8)

55.12(c)(9)

55.12(c)(10)

55.12(c)(11)

None of the above



Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

FEMA FIRM MAP - BETANCES 117.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use **the best available information** to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

Does your project occur in a floodplain?

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not occur in a floodplain. Source of information: FIRM Map 72000C1210J, panel 1210, valid since November 18, 2009. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes



Historic Preservation

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|---|---|---|
| Regulations under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) require a consultative process to identify historic properties, assess project impacts on them, and avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects | Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470f) | 36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic Properties" https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CFR -2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36- vol3-part800.pdf |

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.)

No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause

Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Step 1 – Initiate Consultation

Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

- √ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Completed
- ✓ Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Not Required
- ✓ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)

Other Consulting Parties

Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here: In compliance with Section 106 and after our determination that this project doesn't affected historic properties in the APE, we want SHPO concur with our determination.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

Was the Section 106 Lender Delegation Memo used for Section 106 consultation?

Yes

No

Step 2 - Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

 Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below:

Urban Center Core, Pueblo Ward

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.



| Address / Location / | National Register | SHPO Concurrence | Sensitive Information |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| District | Status | | |

Additional Notes:

The Municipality prepared a historical determination for the property using information from SHPO and Puerto Rico Cultural Institute that indicated the rehabilitation activities doesn't affect any historical property in the area and the property isn't a historical property.

2. Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the project?

Yes

✓ No

Step 3 -Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on direct and indirect effects.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

No Historic Properties Affected

✓ No Adverse Effect

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. **Document reason for finding:**

The Municipality submitted the consultation to SHPO for NO HISTORIC PROPERTIES AFFECTED by the project. SHPO on communication dated June 9, 2023 indicated that the project has NO ADVERSE EFFECT on historical properties, among other reasons. The Municipality no longer discuss this matter and accepted SHPO determination in communication of June 9, 2023.

M

Does the No Adverse Effect finding contain conditions?

√ Yes (check all that apply)

Avoidance

Modification of project

✓ Other

Describe conditions here:

Submittal of the preliminary drawings that will be prepared during the next planning stage.

No

Adverse Effect

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on Section 106 consultation, the project will have No Adverse Effect on historic properties. Conditions: Other. Upon satisfactory implementation of the conditions, which should be monitored, the project is in compliance with Section 106.

Supporting documentation

Formulario Sección 106- Adqusición Betances 117.pdf

Determinacion Historica Betancers 117.pdf

Contestacion MAC comunicacion SHPO Adquisición Betances.pdf

Consulta SHPO Adquisición Betances 117.pdf

Comunicacion SHPO Betances 117.pdf



Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

✓ Yes

No

Noise Abatement and Control

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|---|---|------------------------------|
| HUD's noise regulations protect residential properties from excessive noise exposure. HUD encourages mitigation as appropriate. | Noise Control Act of 1972 General Services Administration Federal Management Circular 75- 2: "Compatible Land Uses at Federal Airfields" | Title 24 CFR 51 Subpart B |

What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

New construction for residential use

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction



An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster

✓ None of the above

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description (acquisition of an existing building for public use in a community, that not increase building footprint or density), this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under HUD's noise regulation. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No



Sole Source Aquifers

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|---|---|-----------------|
| The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 protects drinking water systems which are the sole or principal drinking water source for an area and which, if contaminated, would create a significant hazard to public health. | Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 201, 300f et seq., and 21 U.S.C. 349) | 40 CFR Part 149 |

1. Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing building(s)?

√ Yes

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description (acquisition of an existing building for public use, that not increase building footprint or density), the project consists of activities that are unlikely to have an adverse impact on groundwater resources. There are no designated Sole Source Aquifers in Puerto Rico. According the USGS Puerto Rico Aquifers Map, the site is classified as unconsolidated sand and gravel aquifers. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wetlands Protection

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|--|--------------------------|---|
| Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or indirect support of new construction impacting wetlands wherever there is a practicable alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a primary screening tool, but observed or known wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also be processed Off-site impacts that result in draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands must also be processed. | Executive Order 11990 | 24 CFR 55.20 can be used for general guidance regarding the 8 Step Process. |

 Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.



Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description (acquisition of an existing building for public use, that not increase building footprint or density), this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|---|---|-----------------|
| The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act provides federal protection for certain free-flowing, wild, scenic and recreational rivers designated as components or potential components of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System (NWSRS) from the effects of construction or development. | The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287), particularly section 7(b) and (c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c)) | 36 CFR Part 297 |

Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

√ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The proposed project is not within proximity of a National Wild and Scenic Rivers (NWSRS) river. The Municipality of Caguas does not have any river registered as a Wild and Scenic River, Study River or listed in the Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI). This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

Supporting documentation

WSR Inventory.pdf

<u>Puerto Rico - Nationwide Rivers Inventory (US National Park Service).pdf</u> Wild Scenic Rivers map - Puerto Rico.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Environmental Justice

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|--|-----------------------|------------|
| Determine if the project creates adverse environmental impacts upon a low-income or minority community. If it does, engage the community in meaningful participation about mitigating the impacts or move the project. | Executive Order 12898 | |

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

Yes

✓ No



Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The activities involved in this project are the acquisition of an existing building to public use in a community. After completing the other portions of the environmental review, we determined that neither the project site nor the surrounding neighborhood suffer from adverse environmental conditions. The project is out: (a) of the airport hazards zones, (b) out of flood zone (according to FEMA FIRM Maps), (c) out of coastal barrier zones (according to Puerto Rico Coastal Zone Management Program), (d) is located in an Clean Air Act attainment area (according to the Puerto Rico Department of Natural and Environmental Resources), (e) sites of contamination and toxic substances (according to NEPAssist) are located in a radius of 0.6 miles. However, Brownfield sites are evaluated by a Phase I ESA and no recognizable environmental conditions are found. TRI and RCRA data are related to permits awarded because of the properties uses and operations, no violations on RCRA permits are founded in sites surrounding the project area according to ECHO reports, and no investigations or citizens' complaints about chemical accidents or hazardous situations are received or notified to our Office. (f) the project will not result in an increased number of people in the area, (g) there are not AST's in the project surroundings; (h) doesn't impact a farmland, sole aquifer, wild rivers or wetlands areas (according to location map), (i) is not a hazardous or flammable facility, (j) is not a new construction that exceeds the building footprint, (k) no noise abatement needed, (I) SHPO

Building-Acquisition---Betances-117

determined that the project has no adverse effect on historic properties in the area with some conditions and (m) further consultation with USFW in case we found a Puerto Rico Boa during cleaning or rehabilitation activities in the project. Neither the project site, nor the surrounding neighborhood suffer from adverse environmental conditions. No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

