

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

# Environmental Review for Activity/Project that is Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5 Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)

# **Project Information**

**Project Name:** 

Villa-Turabo-Recreational-Facilities-Improvements

HEROS Number:

900000010356181

State / Local Identifier:

**Project Location:** 

Pueblo Ward, Caguas, PR 00725

**Additional Location Information:** 

Cedro street, Villa Turabo Community. ID parcel: 225-093-206-01

# Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

Funds will be used for improvements in the recreational facilities in Villa Turabo community at Pueblo Ward. These improvements are related to replace the existing damage floors for the basketball and volleyball courts; including painting, bleachers rehabilitation and marking lines design.

# Level of Environment Review Determination:

Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at §58.5: 58.34(a)(12) 58.35(a)(1)

# <u>Funding Information</u>

4	<b>Grant Number</b>	HUD Program	Program Name
N	B-23-MC-72-0001	Community Planning and	Community Development Block Grants (CDBG)
1		Development (CPD)	(Entitlement)

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount: \$1,697,500.00

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) (5)]: \$35,000.00

#### Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project

Villa-Turabo-Recreational-Facilities-Improvements

contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law,	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on	Complete
Authority, or		Completed Measures	
Factor			

Determination:			
This categorically excluded activity/project converts to <b>EXEMPT</b> per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license; <b>Funds may be committed and drawn down after certification of this part</b> for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR			
This categorically excluded activity/project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities listed at Section 58.5 requires formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, publish NOI/RROF and obtain "Authority to Use Grant Funds" (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down any funds; OR			
This project is not categorically excluded OR, if originally categorically excluded, is now subject to a full Environmental Assessment according to Part 58 Subpart E due to extraordinary circumstances (Section 58.35(c)).			
Preparer Signature: Date: <u>adaluh 2, 20</u> 23			
Name / Title / Organization: GUILLERMO RIVERA CRUZ / / CAGUAS			
Responsible Entity Agency Official Signature: Lie Ture Responsible			
Name/ Title: Lydia I Rivora Donizara, Deputy Mayor			
This original, signed document and related supporting material must be retained on file by the Responsible Entity in an Environment Review Record (ERR) for the activity / project (ref: 24 CFR Part			

58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410

www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

# **Environmental Review for Activity/Project that is** Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5 Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)

# **Project Information**

Project Name:

Villa-Turabo-Recreational-Facilities-Improvements

HEROS Number: 900000010356181

Responsible Entity (RE): CAGUAS, BOX 907 CAGUAS MUNICIPIO PR, 00726

State / Local Identifier:

RE Preparer: GUILLERMO RIVERA CRUZ

Certifying Officer:

LYDIA RIVERA DENIZARD

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity):

Point of Contact:



Consultant (if applicable):

Point of Contact:

Project Location:

Pueblo Ward, Caguas, PR 00725

Additional Location Information:

Cedro street, Villa Turabo Community. ID parcel: 225-093-206-01

Direct Comments to:

# Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

Funds will be used for improvements in the recreational facilities in Villa Turabo community at Pueblo Ward. These improvements are related to replace the existing damage floors for the basketball and volleyball courts; including painting, bleachers rehabilitation and marking lines design.

# Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

Caguas, PR

plano de diseño.pdf

Memorial explicativo.pdf

location map Cancha Villa Turabo.pdf

Localizacion Cancha VillaTurabo.png

Aviso Publico Disponibilidad Plan Anual -Nuevo Dia 15 abril de 2023.pdf

Hoja de Proyecto - Cancha Villa Turabo.xlsx

IMG 0078.jpg

IMG 0077.jpg

IMG 0076.jpg

IMG 0075.jpg

IMG 0074.jpg

IMG 0073.jpg

#### Level of Environmental Review Determination:

Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at 58.5:

58.34(a)(12)

58.35(a)(1)

#### **Determination:**

drawn down after certification of this part for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR
This categorically excluded activity/project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities listed at Section 58.5 requires formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, publish NOI/RROF and obtain "Authority to Use Grant Funds" (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down any funds; OR
This project is not categorically excluded OR, if originally categorically excluded, is now subject to a full Environmental Assessment according to Part 58 Subpart E due to extraordinary circumstances (Section 58.35(c)).

#### **Approval Documents:**

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer on:



# **Funding Information**

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name
B-23-MC-72-0001	Community Planning and Development (CPD)	Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) (Entitlement)

Estimated Total HUD Funded, Assisted \$1,697,500.00 or Insured Amount:

**Estimated Total Project Cost:** 

\$35,000.00

# Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD	DERS, AND REGULATION	ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6
Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	□ Yes ☑ No	The Municipality of Caguas doesn't have a military or civilian airport in their territorial jurisdiction. The nearest civilian or military airport is located 78,068 feet (SJU airport) from the area. The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	□ Yes ☑ No	Puerto Rico is one of the areas with Coastal Barrier Resources Systems (CBRS). However, the project is located 78,938 feet from the nearest CBRS (Punta Vacia Talega). This project is not located in a CBRS Unit. Therefore, this project has no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project area is located in a Zone X (outside of floodplain with a 1% or 0.2% chance annual probability of flooding).



Villa-Turabo-Recreational-Facilities-Improvements

Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a]		Source of information: FIRM Map 72000C1210J, panel 1210, valid since November 18, 2009. Based on the project description (improvements on an existing recreational facilities on a populated area), the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements.
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORE	DERS, AND REGULATION	ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	□ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description (improvements on an existing recreational facilities), this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.
Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)	□ Yes ☑ No	The proposed project is not located, nor affects, a coastal zone. The Municipality of Caguas does not have any coastal zone. The nearest coast is 18 miles away, therefore, it is not define as a Coastal Municipality by Puerto Rico Coastal Zone Management Program (PRCZM). This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.
Contamination and Toxic Substances 24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]	□ Yes ☑ No	Using NEPA Assist Tool; two (2) TRI and thirty (30) RCRA sites were identified in a radius of one (1) mile from the structure. The nearest one is a RCRA site (unidentified site) located at 0.15 miles from the project. The most far one is



		also a RCRA site (unidentified site)
		located at 0.99 miles from the project.
		However, the project are improvements
		on an existing recreational facility on a
		populated area, that will not exceed the
		project footprint. So the uses in the area
		are mostly residential and some
		community services around. During the
£		inspection of the place, where the
(40)		project will take place, no
		landfills/dumps, substations were
100		identified in the surroundings. TRI and
		RCRA data is related to permits awarded
		because of the properties uses and
		operations. In a radius of 500 feet from
		the project, ECHO reports indicated that
		CVS Pharmacy, San Juan Bautista
		Medicine School, Walgreens Pharmacy
W .		and Hospital Menonita have no RCRA or
		Clean Water Act violations .No
		investigations or citizens' complaints
		about chemical accidents or hazardous
		situations are received or notified to our
		Office. Some technical issue with NEPA
		Assist platform did not identified the
		sites. Site contamination was evaluated
		as follows: None of the above. On-site
		or nearby toxic, hazardous, or
		radioactive substances that could affect
0		the health and safety of project
		occupants or conflict with the intended
		use of the property were not found. The
		project is in compliance with
		contamination and toxic substances
		requirements.
Endangered Species Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	USFW issued a Blanket Clearance Letter
Endangered Species Act of 1973,		for HUD federally sponsored projects on
particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part		January 14, 2013. Our project complies
402		criteria #8 of this clearance letter.
*		According to USFW Puerto Rico Field
	v.	Office, the Municipality Self Certification
		for Compliance with the Blanket Letter
		dated on July 11, 2023 and certify on
		July 13, 2023 will be included in the case
		documentation to comply with
		Endangered Species Act. This project



	□ v □ N-	will have No Effect on listed species based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C	□ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description (improvements on an existing recreational facility that will not exceed the project footprint), the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.
Farmlands Protection Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project area has been impacted previously. The proposed project consist on improvements on an existing recreational facility in an urban zone that will not exceed the project footprint. The project is out of agricultural reserves, experimental stations, soils classified as of agricultural capacity or classified as prime agricultural land, according with the Soil Survey from NRCS. Caguas does not have protected areas covered by Farmlands Protection Policy Act. This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.
Floodplain Management Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	□ Yes ☑ No	The proposed project consist on improvements on an existing recreational facility on a populated area, that will not exceed the project footprint. This project does not occur in a floodplain. Source: FEMA FIRM Panel 72000C1210J effective on November 18, 2009. This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988.
Historic Preservation National Historic Preservation Act of	☐ Yes ☑ No	SHPO communication dated on September 22, 2023 determined to



1000	1		I
1966, particularly sections 106 and			support our findings of no historic
110; 36 CFR Part 800			properties affected with the area of Villa
			Turabo community in Pueblo Ward.
			Based on Section 106 consultation,
			there are No Historic Properties
			Affected because there are no historic
			properties present. The project is in
			compliance with Section 106.
Noise Abatement and Control	☐ Yes ☑	Ĭ No	Based on the project description
Noise Control Act of 1972, as			(improvements on an existing
amended by the Quiet Communities			recreational facility on a populated area,
Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart			that will not exceed the project
В			footprint), this project includes no
			activities that would require further
			evaluation under HUD's noise
			regulation. The project is in compliance
			with HUD's Noise regulation.
Sole Source Aquifers	☐ Yes ☑	Í No	The project is limited to improvements
Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as			on an existing recreational facility on a
amended, particularly section			populated area, that will not exceed the
1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149			project footprint. There are no
			designated Sole Source Aquifers in
			Puerto Rico. According the USGS PR
			Aquifers Map, the Municipality of
			Caguas are classified as alluvial and
			gravel aquifers. The project is not
			located on a sole source aquifer area.
			The project is in compliance with Sole
			Source Aquifer requirements.
Wetlands Protection	☐ Yes ☑	1 No	Based on the project description
Executive Order 11990, particularly	LI ICS E	INO	(improvements on an existing
sections 2 and 5			recreational facility on a populated area,
Sections 2 and 5			that will not exceed the project
			footprint and far from flooding or
			wetland areas), this project includes no
			The state of the s
			activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The
2			project do not required draining,
			dredging, channelizing, filling, diking,
			impounding or related activities on a
			wetland area as defined in Executive
			Order 11990. This project includes no
			activities that would require further
			evaluation under this section. The
			project is in compliance with Executive
			Order 11990



		2
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	☐ Yes ☑ No	The Municipality of Caguas does not have any river registered as a Wild and Scenic River, Study River or listed in the Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI). This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.
HUD HO	OUSING ENVIRONMEN	ITAL STANDARDS
	<b>ENVIRONMENTAL J</b>	USTICE
Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	☐ Yes ☑ No	The activities involved in this project are improvements on an existing recreational facility on a populated area, that will not exceed the project footprint in Villa Turabo community, a middle class residential area. After completing the other portions of the environmental review, we determined that neither the project site nor the surrounding neighborhood suffer from adverse environmental conditions. The project is out: (a) of the airport hazards zones, (b) out of flood zone (according to FEMA FIRM Maps), (c) out of coastal barrier zones (according to Puerto Rico Coastal Zone Management Program), (d) compliance with Clean Air Act, (e) no RCRA or CWA violations are recorded and some installations have TRI and RCRA permits because of their operations (according to NEPA Assist & ECHO reports), (f) the project will not result in an increased number of people in the area, (g) there are not AST's in the project surroundings; (h) doesn't impact a farmland, sole aquifer, wild rivers or wetlands areas (according to location map), (i) is not a hazardous or flammable facility, (j) is not a new construction that exceeds the building footprint, (k) no noise abatement needed, (l) SHPO determined that the project have no historic properties affected in the area and (m) comply



with USFW Blanket Clearance Letter for

Villa-Turabo-Recreational	-
Facilities-Improvements	

# Caguas, PR

900000010356181

# Mitigation Measures and Conditions [40 CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law,	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on	Mitigation	Complete
Authority,		Completed	Plan	
or Factor		Measures	-5 m-580m-	

**Project Mitigation Plan** 

Supporting documentation on completed measures



# **APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities**

**Airport Hazards** 

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to prevent incompatible development around civil airports and military airfields.		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes



#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

The Municipality of Caguas doesn't have a military or civilian airport in their territorial jurisdiction. The nearest civilian or military airport is located 78,068 feet (SJU airport) from the area. The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.

#### Supporting documentation

# Cancha Villa Turabo airport map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No.

# **Coastal Barrier Resources**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be used for most activities in units of the Coastal Barrier Resources System (CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations on federal expenditures affecting the CBRS.	Coastal Barrier Resources Act (CBRA) of 1982, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	

# 1. Is the project located in a CBRS Unit?

✓ No

Document and upload map and documentation below.

Yes

#### Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

Puerto Rico is one of the areas with Coastal Barrier Resources Systems (CBRS). However, the project is located 78,938 feet from the nearest CBRS (Punta Vacia Talega). This project is not located in a CBRS Unit. Therefore, this project has no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

#### Supporting documentation

# Cancha Villa Turabo barrier map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes



#### Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
used in floodplains unless the community participates	Protection Act of 1973	and 24 CFR 58.6(a)
in National Flood Insurance Program and flood	as amended (42 USC	and (b); 24 CFR
insurance is both obtained and maintained.	4001-4128)	55.1(b).

1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or</u> acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?

No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

√ Yes

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

FEMA MAP Cancha Villa Turabo.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The <u>FEMA Map Service Center</u> provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site. Provide FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, and date within your documentation.

Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area?

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

4. While flood insurance is not mandatory for this project, HUD strongly recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Will flood insurance be required as a mitigation measure or condition?



Yes

✓ No

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

The project area is located in a Zone X (outside of floodplain with a 1% or 0.2% chance annual probability of flooding). Source of information: FIRM Map 72000C1210J, panel 1210, valid since November 18, 2009. Based on the project description (improvements on an existing recreational facilities on a populated area), the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements.

#### Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes



Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et seq.)	40 CFR Parts 6, 51
by the U.S. Environmental	as amended particularly Section	and 93
Protection Agency (EPA), which	176(c) and (d) (42 USC 7506(c) and	
sets national standards on ambient	(d))	
pollutants. In addition, the Clean		
Air Act is administered by States,		
which must develop State		
Implementation Plans (SIPs) to	I Month the 200 per country in the	
regulate their state air quality.	to the contract of the same of the contract of	and the second lead
Projects funded by HUD must	in the male of the fall of the same of	AND THE RESERVE AND
demonstrate that they conform to	is the publication of the property of	PHEND BULLER
the appropriate SIP.	examination white and the principle	

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description (improvements on an existing recreational facilities), this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.

#### Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes



Coastal Zone Management Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant agencies for activities affecting any coastal use or resource is granted only when such activities are consistent with federally approved State Coastal Zone Management Act Plans.	Coastal Zone Management Act (16 USC 1451-1464), particularly section 307(c) and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and (d))	15 CFR Part 930

# 1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

#### Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

The proposed project is not located, nor affects, a coastal zone. The Municipality of Caguas does not have any coastal zone. The nearest coast is 18 miles away, therefore, it is not define as a Coastal Municipality by Puerto Rico Coastal Zone Management Program (PRCZM). This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

#### Supporting documentation

PMZCPR Caguas map.pdf Mapa PMZC Puerto Rico 2023.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes



#### **Contamination and Toxic Substances**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being		24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)
proposed for use in HUD programs be free of		24 CFR 50.3(i)
hazardous materials, contamination, toxic		
chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances,		
where a hazard could affect the health and safety		
of the occupants or conflict with the intended		
utilization of the property.		

 How was site contamination evaluated? Select all that apply. Document and upload documentation and reports and evaluation explanation of site contamination below.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)

ASTM Phase II ESA

Remediation or clean-up plan

ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening

- ✓ None of the Above
- 2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)

√ No



#### Explain:

Using NEPA Assist Tool; two (2) TRI and thirty (30) RCRA sites were identified in a radius of one (1) mile from the structure. The nearest one is a RCRA site (unidentified site) located at 0.15 miles from the project. The most far one is also a RCRA site (unidentified site) located at 0.99 miles from the project. However, the project are improvements on an existing recreational facility on a populated area, that will not exceed the project footprint. So the uses in the area are mostly residential and some community services around. During the inspection of the place, where the project will take place, no landfills/dumps, substations were identified in the surroundings. TRI and RCRA data is related to permits awarded because of the properties uses and operations. In a radius of 500 feet from the project, ECHO reports indicated that CVS Pharmacy, San Juan Bautista Medicine School, Walgreens Pharmacy and Hospital Menonita have no RCRA or Clean Water Act violations .No investigations or citizens' complaints about chemical accidents or hazardous situations are received or notified to our Office. Some technical issue with NEPA Assist platform did not identified the

sites.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

#### Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

Using NEPA Assist Tool; two (2) TRI and thirty (30) RCRA sites were identified in a radius of one (1) mile from the structure. The nearest one is a RCRA site (unidentified site) located at 0.15 miles from the project. The most far one is also a RCRA site (unidentified site) located at 0.99 miles from the project. However, the project are improvements on an existing recreational facility on a populated area, that will not exceed the project footprint. So the uses in the area are mostly residential and some community services around. During the inspection of the place, where the project will take place, no landfills/dumps, substations were identified in the surroundings. TRI and RCRA data is related to permits awarded because of the properties uses and operations. In a radius of 500 feet from the project, ECHO reports indicated that CVS Pharmacy, San Juan Bautista Medicine School, Walgreens Pharmacy and Hospital Menonita have no RCRA or Clean Water Act violations . No investigations or citizens' complaints about chemical accidents or hazardous situations are received or notified to our Office. Some technical issue with NEPA Assist platform did not identified the sites. Site contamination was evaluated as follows: None of the above. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.



#### Supporting documentation

NEPA Assist Report Cancha Villa Turabo.pdf

NEPA Assist report maps Cancha Villa Turabo.pdf

ECHO report Hospital Menonita.pdf

ECHO report San Juan Bautista Medical School.pdf

ECHO report Walgreens.pdf

ECHO report CVS Pharmacy.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

**Endangered Species** 

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973 (16	402
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	U.S.C. 1531 et seq.);	
shall not jeopardize the continued existence of	particularly section 7	
federally listed plants and animals or result in the	(16 USC 1536).	
adverse modification or destruction of designated		MACHINE BY
critical habitat. Where their actions may affect		erous-comign
resources protected by the ESA, agencies must		
consult with the Fish and Wildlife Service and/or		
the National Marine Fisheries Service ("FWS" and		
"NMFS" or "the Services").		

# 1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

✓ No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

#### Explain your determination:

USFW issued a Blanket Clearance Letter for HUD federally sponsored projects on January 14, 2013. Our project complies criteria #8 of this clearance letter. According to USFW Puerto Rico Field Office, the Municipality Self Certification for Compliance with the Blanket Letter dated on July 11, 2023 and certify on July 13, 2023 will be included in the case documentation to comply with Endangered Species Act.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

#### Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

USFW issued a Blanket Clearance Letter for HUD federally sponsored projects on January 14, 2013. Our project complies criteria #8 of this clearance letter. According to USFW Puerto Rico Field Office, the Municipality Self Certification for Compliance with the Blanket Letter dated on July 11, 2023 and certify on July 13, 2023 will be included in the case documentation to comply with Endangered Species Act. This project will have No Effect on listed species based on a letter



of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.

#### Supporting documentation

<u>Self Certification Mejoras Cancha Baloncesto- VIlla Turabo.pdf</u> <u>certificacion USFW cancha Villa Turabo.pdf</u>

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?



**Explosive and Flammable Hazards** 

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet	N/A	24 CFR Part 51
Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)		Subpart C
requirements to protect them from		
explosive and flammable hazards.		

- 1. Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as bulk fuel storage facilities and refineries)?
- √ No

Yes

- 2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?
- √ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes



#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description (improvements on an existing recreational facility that will not exceed the project footprint), the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

#### Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

# **Farmlands Protection**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) discourages federal activities that would convert farmland to nonagricultural purposes.	Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201 et seq.)	7 CFR Part 658

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

✓ No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

#### Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

The project area has been impacted previously. The proposed project consist on improvements on an existing recreational facility in an urban zone that will not exceed the project footprint. The project is out of agricultural reserves, experimental stations, soils classified as of agricultural capacity or classified as prime agricultural land, according with the Soil Survey from NRCS. Caguas does not have protected areas covered by Farmlands Protection Policy Act. This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.

# Supporting documentation

# Soil Report Cancha Villa Turabo.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes



Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,		
requires federal activities to		
avoid impacts to floodplains		
and to avoid direct and indirect		The state of the s
support of floodplain		
development to the extent		and the Charles of the Charles
practicable.		I THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PART

# 1. Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]

55.12(c)(3)

55.12(c)(4)

55.12(c)(5)

55.12(c)(6)

55.12(c)(7)

55.12(c)(8)

55.12(c)(9)

55.12(c)(10)

55.12(c)(11)

✓ None of the above

#### Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

# FEMA MAP Cancha Villa Turabo.pdf



The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use **the best available information** to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

#### Does your project occur in a floodplain?

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

# **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

The proposed project consist on improvements on an existing recreational facility on a populated area, that will not exceed the project footprint. This project does not occur in a floodplain. Source: FEMA FIRM Panel 72000C1210J effective on November 18, 2009. This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988.

# Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes



#### **Historic Preservation**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under	Section 106 of the	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic
Section 106 of the	National Historic	Properties"
National Historic	Preservation Act	https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CFR
Preservation Act	(16 U.S.C. 470f)	-2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36-
(NHPA) require a	the late of the state of the	vol3-part800.pdf
consultative process		
to identify historic		
properties, assess	7.00	in the street of a street was
project impacts on		
them, and avoid,		
minimize, or mitigate		
adverse effects		

#### Threshold

#### Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.)

No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

# Step 1 – Initiate Consultation Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

√ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Completed



- ✓ Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Not Required
- ✓ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)

Other Consulting Parties

Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here: In compliance with Section 106 because HUD federal funds will be used for this project and after

our determination that this project doesn't affected historic properties in the APE, we want SHPO concur with our determination.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

Was the Section 106 Lender Delegation Memo used for Section 106 consultation?

Yes

No

# Step 2 - Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

1. Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below:

Villa Turabo community

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location /	National Register	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive Information
District	Status		

#### **Additional Notes:**

The Municipality prepared a historical determination for the property using information from SHPO and Puerto Rico Cultural Institute that indicated the rehabilitation activities have no affected historical properties and the property isn't a historical property.

2. Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the project?

Yes



# Step 3 -Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on direct and indirect effects.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

√ No Historic Properties Affected

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload concurrence(s) or objection(s) below.

#### Document reason for finding:

✓ No historic properties present.

Historic properties present, but project will have no effect upon them.

No Adverse Effect

Adverse Effect



#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

SHPO communication dated on September 22, 2023 determined to support our findings of no historic properties affected with the area of Villa Turabo community in Pueblo Ward. Based on Section 106 consultation, there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106.

#### Supporting documentation

location map Cancha Villa Turabo(1).pdf
Determinacion historica Cancha Villa Turabo.pdf
Consulta SHPO Proyecto Mejoras Cancha Villa Turabo.pdf
comunicacion SHPO Cancha Villa Turabo.pdf
Cancha Villa Turabo location\_map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required? Yes



#### **Noise Abatement and Control**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect	Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
residential properties from		Subpart B
excessive noise exposure. HUD	General Services Administration	
encourages mitigation as	Federal Management Circular 75-	
appropriate.	2: "Compatible Land Uses at	
	Federal Airfields"	

# What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

New construction for residential use

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster

√ None of the above

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description (improvements on an existing recreational facility on a populated area, that will not exceed the project footprint), this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under HUD's noise regulation. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes



**Sole Source Aquifers** 

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 protects drinking water systems which are the sole or principal	Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 201, 300f et seq., and 21	40 CFR Part 149
drinking water source for an area and which, if contaminated, would create a significant hazard to public health.	U.S.C. 349)	

1. Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing building(s)?

√ Yes

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No

#### Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

The project is limited to improvements on an existing recreational facility on a populated area, that will not exceed the project footprint. There are no designated Sole Source Aquifers in Puerto Rico. According the USGS PR Aquifers Map, the Municipality of Caguas are classified as alluvial and gravel aquifers. The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements.



#### Supporting documentation

ssa Region II EPA.pdf
Sole Source Aquifers Map-Caguas PR.jpg
Puerto Rico aquifers.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

#### Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or indirect support of new construction impacting wetlands wherever there is a practicable alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a primary screening tool, but observed or known wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also be processed Off-site impacts that result in draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands must also be processed.	Executive Order 11990	24 CFR 55.20 can be used for general guidance regarding the 8 Step Process.

Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

#### Screen Summary

#### Compliance Determination

Based on the project description (improvements on an existing recreational facility on a populated area, that will not exceed the project footprint and far from flooding or wetland areas), this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project do not required draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding or related activities on a wetland area as defined in Executive Order 11990. This project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990

#### Supporting documentation

#### Cancha Villa Turbo Wetland Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes



M

#### Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers designated	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
as components or potential		
components of the National Wild		
and Scenic Rivers System (NWSRS)		
from the effects of construction or		
development.		

# Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

# ✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

The Municipality of Caguas does not have any river registered as a Wild and Scenic River, Study River or listed in the Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI). This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

# Supporting documentation



<u>Puerto Rico - Nationwide Rivers Inventory (US National Park Service).pdf</u> <u>Wild Scenic Rivers map - Puerto Rico.pdf</u>

# Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes



**Environmental Justice** 

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project creates adverse environmental impacts upon a low-income or minority community. If it does, engage the community in meaningful participation about mitigating the impacts or move the project.	Executive Order 12898	

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

#### Screen Summary

#### Compliance Determination

The activities involved in this project are improvements on an existing recreational facility on a populated area, that will not exceed the project footprint in Villa Turabo community, a middle class residential area. After completing the other portions of the environmental review, we determined that neither the project site nor the surrounding neighborhood suffer from adverse environmental conditions. The project is out: (a) of the airport hazards zones, (b) out of flood zone (according to FEMA FIRM Maps), (c) out of coastal barrier zones (according to Puerto Rico Coastal Zone Management Program), (d) compliance with Clean Air Act, (e) no RCRA or CWA violations are recorded and some installations have TRI and RCRA permits because of their operations (according to NEPA Assist & ECHO reports), (f) the project will not result in an increased number of people in the area, (g) there are not AST's in the project surroundings; (h) doesn't impact a farmland, sole aquifer, wild rivers or wetlands areas (according to location map), (i) is not a hazardous or flammable facility, (j) is not a new construction that exceeds the building footprint, (k) no noise abatement needed, (I) SHPO determined that the project have no historic properties affected in the area and (m) comply with USFW Blanket Clearance Letter for HUD funding projects and concur with our determination. The residents of the area use this recreation facility for the development of sports and other social educational programs to avoid criminal thoughts spreading into the youngers in the area. Neither the project site, nor the



surrounding neighborhood suffer from adverse environmental conditions. No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

# Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required? Yes



••		